IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.456 OF 2002

Dr.Rajeev K. Joshi ...applicant
vs.
State of Maharashtra & Anr. ...Respondents

Mr.Shivprasad Shetty for the Applicant
Ms M.H.Mhatre,A.P.P. for State

CORAM: A.S.OKA,J.
DATE : JULY 15,2005.

P.C.:

1. Heard Advocate for the Applicant and learned A.P.P. for State. The Applicant filed a private complaint against the Respondent Nos.2 and 3 herein and two others alleging commission of offence under Section 499, 500 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code. By the impugned order the learned Magistrate directed enquiry under Section 202 so far as accused Nos.1 and 2 were concerned and dismissed the complaint as against the Respondent Nos.2 and 3 herein as there was no prima facie case. The Respondent Nos.2 and 3 who were original accused Nos.3 and 4 are practising Advocates. Perusal of the complaint shows that the allegation against the said Respondents is that they acted as per the instructions of their respective clients. On plain reading of the complaint it is apparent that no case was made out for prosecuting the said Respondent Nos.2 and 3. No fault can be found with the impugned order. Revision Application is dismissed.

JUDGE